1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 FRANK STEPHENSON, 11 Petitioner, 02:05-cv-0776-GEB-KJM-P 12 VS. 13 R. CAMPBELL, Warden, 14 Respondent. **ORDER** 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of 17 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 19 On December 21, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any 21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner 22 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-24 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire 25 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by 26 proper analysis.

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed December 21, 2005, are adopted in
3	full; and
4	2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is dismissed for failure to
5	exhaust state remedies.
6	Dated: January 31, 2006
7	/ / G]]]]]
8	/s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr. GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
9	United States District Judge
0	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	